OCT 2 3 2007 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

M-07-5640-M

Case Number: JESUS ALEJANDRO REYNA-SOTO

		Defenaant
dete		eccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the n of the defendant pending trial in this case.
		Part I—Findings of Fact
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
_	(2)	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
Ш	(ד)	safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
×	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
~	(-)	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed 8 USC 1326
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
X	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
		the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
		Alternative Findings (B)
×	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
×	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that there is no conditions or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the appearance of defendant at future proceedings.

Defendant stands charged with illegal entry after deportation, in violation of Title 8 U.S.C. § 1326. Defendant is a citizen and national of Mexico with no legal status to reside in the United States. Defendant retains strong family, social, and economic ties to Mexico. Defendant also holds a significant criminal history. No further evidence was presented on this issue.

Under these circumstances, this Court believes that Defendant cannot be trusted to comply with any conditions of release that might be set, including the condition that Defendant make all future appearances.

Should additional evidence come to light, or conditions become available that would materially affect this Court's ruling, Defendant may move to reopen the detention hearing. See 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (f). Defendant may also appeal this ruling to the District Court pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3145.

Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

United States Magistrate Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).